Subject: Guideline for the reporting of
1) incidents
2) equipment exposed to harmful events affecting safe operation and
3) equipment found in a hazardous state (by a mechanic or owner)

Applicable to: All Passenger Ropeway Contractors, Consultants, Owners and Certificate Holders

1. Introduction

Ontario Regulation 209/01 (Elevating Devices) as amended by O.Reg 252/08, which came into effect on
January 1, 2009, contains updated requirements related to the reporting of incidents.

Note: Passenger ropeways fall under the definition of elevating device, as defined by O.Reg 209/01. For the
purpose of this guideline, and to facilitate its readability for the ski lift industry, the term elevating device
(which covers passenger ropeways and conveyors) will be replaced with either passenger ropeway or ski lift.

2. Purpose of this Guideline

This guideline is intended to aid in compliance with section 36 of Ontario Regulation 209/01 (Elevating
Devices) titled Reporting of Incidents. Section 36 requires that any incident involving a ski lift be reported to
the Director. The specifics of this requirement vary depending on the nature of the incident.

The Reporting of Incidents section of the regulation addresses issues related to;
• types of incident(s),
• harmful events which may impact the safety of a device,
• devices found in a hazardous condition,
• who should report, method of reporting and reporting timelines,
• requirements related to preserving the scene,
• returning a device back into operation, and
• thorough investigation of incidents.
A copy of section 36 is attached as Appendix ‘A’.

3. Intent

The intent of section 36 is to ensure that the Director is informed of all incidents related to ski lifts.
Section 36 deals with not only actual incidents but also hazardous conditions where no injury or property
damage has yet occurred. The reporting of instances or hazardous conditions can be an effective trigger for
safety enhancements and it is vital to ‘risk-informed decisions making’ in order to manage public safety
related to ski lifts. Legislated requirements for incident reporting allow the Director to make use of
information obtained beyond that which is gained only from inspection activities.
4. Effective Date

The amended incident reporting section came into effect on January 1, 2009.

5. Returning Passenger Ropeways to Service

a) Subsection 36.(8) provides that no person shall return an elevating device to service after an incident until the cause of the incident or condition is identified, the safety of the device restored and an inspector gives permission to return the device to service.

b) Subject to compliance with all applicable incident reporting and notification requirements, a licensee may return a passenger ropeway to service after an incident (other than death) if,

i) The incident is investigated by,
   1. a ski-lift mechanic certified for that device type (not an SLM-T) or
   2. by a professional engineer; and

ii) The person conducting the investigation can confirm that the incident did not occur as a result of an mechanical or electrical issue with the passenger ropeway; and

iii) the operators/attendants at the device can demonstrate / have practiced / are proficient in all applicable maneuvers to readily load / unload / assist passengers who have failed to load / unload (see CSA Z98-07 13.10.2), and

iv) FOR ABOVE SURFACE LIFTS, the person conducting the investigation determines that,
   1. the incident was solely due to the rider failing to load or unload properly, within the loading and unloading area, herein defined as:
      a. Loading area: the area from the wait to load sign - to the point where a fall from the seat begins to exceed 3 meters
      b. Unloading area: the area where a fall from the seat becomes less than or equal to 3 metres - to a point 3 meters past the tangent of the upper bull wheel, and
   2. the victim did not contact fences, railings, or structures within the load/unload area;
   or
   
FOR SURFACE LIFTS, the incident was solely due to a rider falling anywhere along the tow path, from the wait to load point to the unload point and did not sustain the injury as a result of coming in contact with any equipment related to the lift, and

v) The return to service is immediately reported to the Director at 877-682-8772 (incident reporting). Note: A written incident report is also required within 24 Hrs.

Note: The provisions in this section are an attempt to allow resorts to restore operation to a device in relatively short order, following a personal injury to a patron, provided the requirements detailed above are followed. Failure to follow the prescribed process may result in this provision being removed from the guideline.
6. Incident Notification and Reporting Q&A’s:

a) What is an incident?
The regulation defines an incident as follows:

“Incident” means an occurrence involving an elevator system, an elevating device or a component of an elevating device resulting in an adverse consequence to persons or property.

Note:
- Subsections 36.(1) and 36.(2) of the regulation specifically deal with “incidents”.
- Subsections 36.(3) through 36.(5) do not deal with “incidents” but rather with “conditions”.

b) Are reporting requirements connected to the severity of the ‘incident’?
Yes. Subsection 36.(1) details notification and reporting requirements for two categories of incident: “death”, or “injury to a person that requires the services of a medical practitioner”.

Subsection 36.(2) has notification and reporting requirements for minor incidents which are not covered in ss. 36.(1). A minor incident would be a personal injury where no medical practitioner was required or where there was property damage. Remember that a consequence to person or property = an incident.

c) What is meant by “services of a medical practitioner”?
The regulation defines medical practitioner as follows:

“medical practitioner” means a physician, nurse, dentist, chiropractor or physiotherapist legally qualified to practice their profession in Ontario, and a paramedic as defined under the Ambulance Act.

If a personal injury requires the services of a medical practitioner, then the notification and reporting requirements as described in ss. 36.(1) apply.

Note: If, for example, the personal injury requires the services of a medical practitioner and a paramedic responds to the call and provides medical services in connection with a ski lift incident, the owner and if applicable the maintenance or service contractor must both comply with the reporting requirements of ss. 36.(1) in relation to a death or serious injury. If an incident occurs in connection with a ski lift where the services of a medical practitioner are not provided or are not required, the owner and if applicable the maintenance or service contractor must both comply with the reporting requirements of ss. 36.(2) in relation to a minor injury.

d) Do I need to report a personal injury which did not require the services of a medical practitioner (i.e. a more minor type injury)?
Yes.
Subsection 36.(2) of the regulation requires reporting incidents other than those described in ss. 36.(1). A personal injury that does not require the services of a medical practitioner would fall into this category.

e) Aside from the “incidents” described as
i) death: in ss.36.(1),
ii) injuries: serious injuries in ss.36.(1) and minor injuries in ss.36.(2), or
iii) property damage: in ss.36.(2)
are there any other reportable events?
Yes.
Any time a ski lift is found to be in a condition where the safe operation of the equipment is affected (see ss. 36.(3)) or where there is an immediate hazard to the safety of persons or property [see ss. 36.(4) and ss. 36.(5)] the Director must be notified and a written report must be submitted.

f) When are the conditions of subsection 36.(3), that detail fire, flood or other significant water exposure, vandalism, impact or lightening strike, reportable?

Any time a ski lift has been negatively impacted to the extent that safe operation is questionable as a result of exposure to the conditions listed above, the owner and if applicable the maintenance or service contractor must both notify the Director and submit a written report of the incident to the Director.

g) What’s the difference between subsections 36.(4) and 36.(5)?

Both of these provisions relate to the finding of the device in a condition which constitutes an immediate hazard. The difference depends on who finds or becomes aware of the hazard. Subsection 36.(4) applies if a mechanic finds the device in a hazardous state whereas subsection 36.(5) applies to licence holders.

h) What is meant by a “condition which constitutes an immediate hazard”?

A condition which constitutes an immediate hazard would be something that, if left unattended, would imminently cause death or serious injury to a person, also if the condition has the potential to cause property damage it likely also exhibits the potential for serious injury. If a device is in a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard, it must be immediately removed from service.

i) What if the immediate hazard is something that can be fixed quickly through general maintenance or repair. Do I still need to report?

Yes.
If there was a potential for harm to persons or property the Director must be notified of the event or condition and a written report must be submitted.

j) If I comply with my notification and reporting requirements, can the device be returned to service?

Not if the incident or condition is of a type referred to in ss. 36.(1), ss. 36.(3), ss. 36.(4) or ss. 36.(5). No person shall disturb the scene except for making the site safe or to facilitate rescue. Nothing is permitted to be done to the scene until an inspector gives permission to do so. No person is permitted to return the device to service until:
1. The cause of the incident or condition is identified;
2. The safety of the device is restored; and
3. The inspector has authorized the return to service.

See the exception found in section 5 of this guideline, “Returning Passenger Ropeways to Service”.

k) If an incident [ss. 36.(1) or ss. 36.(2)] occurs or a condition as specified in ss. 36.(3), (4) or (5) is identified, can the device operate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg ref.</th>
<th>Occurrence or Event</th>
<th>Operation of Equipment</th>
<th>Requirements before restoring operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36.(1)</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Shut Down.</td>
<td>Operation only after:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. cause is identified &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. device safety is restored &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. inspector gives permission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injury requiring services of a medical practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(2)</td>
<td>Injury other than 36.(1) or property damage</td>
<td>No shut down requirements</td>
<td>Safety of the device is restored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(3)</td>
<td>Equipment exposure to harmful events impacting safe operation</td>
<td>Shut Down.</td>
<td>Operation only after:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. cause is identified &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. device safety is restored &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. inspector gives permission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(4)</td>
<td>Mechanic finds equipment in a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(5)</td>
<td>Licence holder finds or becomes aware of equipment in a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See exception found in section 5 of this guideline, “Returning Passenger Ropeways to Service”.

l) Who is responsible to report?
What are the timelines for reporting?
What documentation is required?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg ref.</th>
<th>Occurrence or Event</th>
<th>Notification</th>
<th>Written Reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36.(1)</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Owner must notify the Director immediately</td>
<td>The contractor shall submit a written report to the Director within 24 hours of becoming aware of the incident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injury requiring services of a medical practitioner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(2)</td>
<td>Injury other than 36.(1) or property damage</td>
<td>Owner and Contractor must notify the Director within 24 hours of becoming aware</td>
<td>The Owner and the Contractor shall submit a written reports to the Director within 7 days of becoming aware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(3)</td>
<td>Equipment exposure to harmful events impacting safe operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(4)</td>
<td>Mechanic finds equipment in a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard</td>
<td>The mechanic must notify the Owner or Contractor immediately</td>
<td>The licence holder shall submit a written report to the Director within 7 days of the finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.(5)</td>
<td>Licence holder finds or becomes aware of equipment in a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard</td>
<td>The licence holder must notify the Director within 24 hours of the finding</td>
<td>The licence holder shall submit a written report to the Director within 7 days of the finding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
m) What information must be included in the report to the Director?

TSSA has a reporting form available on the www.tssa.org web site which must be used to capture the necessary information when reporting an incident. The regulation requires that full particulars of the incident / event are provided. Subsection 36.(6) provides as follows:

“The written report shall contain the results of an investigation carried out by the contractor following the incident or finding that the [...] device was in a condition that constituted an immediate hazard.”

A copy of the reporting form is attached to this guideline.

n) Is it a requirement to use TSSA’s reporting form?

Yes.
A written report must be submitted to the Director in the form provided by the Technical Standards and Safety Authority. A copy of this form is attached to this guideline.

o) Does the licence holder need to report the results of the investigation under ss. 36.(6)?

Yes.
It will be necessary for the licence holder to work with their maintenance contractor to complete the reporting form details. Subsection 36.(6) requires that contractors carry out an investigation following an incident or the finding of a hazardous condition. This information must be included in the licence holder’s report to the Director.

While gathering information for the incident report, licence holders and contractors must be aware that during the investigation process, subsection 36.(7) requires that no person shall interfere with, disturb, destroy, carry away, or alter anything at the scene of or connected with the incident until an inspector gives permission to do so.

p) What are some examples of a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard (imminent potential for death or serious injury)?

While there are many possible conditions that could constitute an immediate hazard it is difficult to provide an exhaustive list. An immediate hazard is a condition that could result in death or serious injury to persons if not immediately removed from service or rectified.
Here are a few examples of hazardous conditions*;

- A jumper is left on a safety circuit
- A safety related component is circumvented
- Missing or failed safety components
- A crack is discovered in an important weldment
- Failure of the tensioning system or components
- A single failure of a component which has created an immediate hazard condition
  - oil line or hydraulic cylinder failure
  - parted suspension or haul rope
  - brake failure
- rope derailment

* to be considered an immediate hazard, the nature of these condition have a high probability to cause death or serious injury.

q) If a device is in a condition that does NOT constitute an immediate hazard [per Q&A (h) or (p)], but the condition poses an “elevated exposure to risk” to the public, can I voluntarily report the condition even though this is not covered by the regulation, and if so, how?

Yes. Voluntary reporting of conditions which pose an “elevated exposure to risk” to the public are welcomed and can aid in better risk informed decision making by the Director, the elevating devices safety program and TSSA’s industry councils. The regulation defines the minimum requirements for reporting. The incident reporting form includes checkboxes used to specify the “occurrence type”. To report a condition which poses an elevated exposure to risk select the occurrence type; “voluntary reporting of an instance of elevated exposure to risk”.

Example of elevated risk might include:
- Repetitive misloads or unloads on the same device

r) What should I do if I am uncertain about my notification and reporting obligations?

If you are in doubt as to whether reporting is required, you are encouraged to report the finding, or contact TSSA’s customer contact centre at 1 (877) 682-8772 for further clarification.

s) How do I Report?

An incident reporting form (specific to ski lifts) is available online at www.tssa.org

Incidents may be reported via:
- Telephone Notification - TSSA’s customer contact centre at 1 (877) 682-8772
- Written Notification - via email to ski-incident@tssa.org

Where the regulation requires immediate reporting, see table “Summary of Reporting Requirements”, reporting must be done by telephone.

Roland Hadaller, P.Eng.,
Director, Ontario Regulation 209/01(Elevating Devices) appointed under the Technical Standards and Safety Act, 2000.

This Guideline has been developed in consultation with the Ski Lift Advisory Council.
Appendix ‘A’

From Ontario Regulation 209/01

INCIDENTS

Reporting of incidents

36. (1) Where an incident occurs in connection with an elevating device that results in the death of a person or injury to a person that requires the services of a medical practitioner,

(a) the owner of the device shall notify the director by telephone immediately; and

(b) the contractor maintaining the device shall submit to the director, in the form provided by the designated administrative authority, a written report giving full particulars within 24 hours of first becoming aware of the incident. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(2) Where an incident occurs in connection with an elevating device, other than an incident described in subsection (1), the owner and the contractor maintaining the device shall,

(a) notify the director by telephone or other means within 24 hours of first becoming aware of the incident;

(b) each submit to the director in the form provided by the designated administrative authority a written report giving full particulars within seven days of first becoming aware of the incident. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(3) Where there has been a fire, flood or other significant exposure to water, vandalism, impact or lightning strike that may adversely affect the safe operation of an elevating device, the owner and the contractor maintaining the device shall,

(a) notify the director by telephone or other means within 24 hours of first becoming aware of the condition that may adversely affect the safe operation of the device;

(b) each submit to the director, in the form provided by the designated administrative authority, a written report giving full particulars within seven days of first becoming aware of the condition. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(4) Where a mechanic finds that an elevating device is in a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard to the safety of a person or property, he or she shall immediately remove the device from service and notify the owner or contractor maintaining the device. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(5) Where a licence holder for an elevating device finds or becomes aware that the device is in a condition that constitutes an immediate hazard to the safety of a person or property, the licence holder shall,

(a) immediately remove the device from service;

(b) notify the director by telephone or other means within 24 hours of making the finding; and

(c) within seven days of making the finding, submit to the director in the form provided by the designated administrative authority a written report giving full particulars. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(6) The written report shall contain the results of an investigation carried out by the contractor following the incident or finding that the elevating device was in a condition that constituted an immediate hazard. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(7) Where an incident or condition of a type referred to in subsection (1), (3), (4) or (5) occurs, no person shall, except for the purpose of making the site safe or rescuing a person injured in the incident, interfere with, disturb, destroy, carry away or alter any wreckage, article or thing at the scene of or connected with the incident until an inspector gives permission to do so. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(8) No person shall return an elevating device referred to in subsection (1), (3), (4) or (5) to service until the cause of the incident or condition is identified, the safety of the device restored and an inspector gives permission to return the device to service. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.

(9) An investigation under this section shall be conducted in such manner as the director considers necessary in the circumstances. O. Reg. 252/08, s. 21.